



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                  | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/040,566                                       | 01/07/2002        | David J. Cash            | LEAP: 109_US_       | 1456             |
| 24041  | 7590 07/09/2003 . |                          |                     |                  |
| SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC                          |                   |                          | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 5555 MAIN STREET<br>WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406 |                   | • ,                      |                     | , MINH D         |
|  |                   |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| •  |                   |                          | 2821                |                  |
|  |                   | DATE MAIL ED: 07/09/2003 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | 9h  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/040,566   | CASH, DAVID J.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Minh D A   | 2821  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day  vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 h   | <u>May 2003</u> .  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4   | .53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application  | l.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw   | wn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | _  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | s have been received   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic  | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e   | e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1</li> </ol>  | 5) Notice of Informal I  | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2821

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Ooki et al (US 6,583,928).

Regarding claims 1,7 and 9, Ooki discloses the microscope for automatically turning off a source of illumination (B1) in a microscope comprising: a switch (15) operatively arranged to control the illumination source (B1);

the control (B12) for sensing inactivity of the switch and for turning off the illumination source (B12) after a predetermined time period of inactivity. See figures 1-7. Col.1 tp col.16, lines 1-49.

Art Unit: 2821

Regarding claim 4, Ooki discloses the control ((B12) having (B(11)) for sensing inactivity of the switch (51) and for turning off the illumination source (B1) after a predetermined time period of inactivity comprises a microprocessor. See figure 5,col. 11, lines 1-49.

Regarding claim 8, Ooki discloses the microscope comprising:

at least one switch element (B15) operatively arranged to control the power supply; and control ((B12) having (B(11)) for sensing inactivity of the at least one switch element (B15) and for turning off the power supply after a predetermined time period of inactivity. See figure 5,col. 11, lines 1-49.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-3, 5-6 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Ooki et al (US 6,583,928).

Regarding claims 2-3, Ooki discloses the claimed invention except for the switch is a mechanical switch or a single pole, single throw switch. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the mechanical switch or the single pole, single throw switch, since applicant has not disclosed that the mechanical switch or the single pole, single throw switch solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and

Art Unit: 2821

it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the switch is a mechanical switch or a single pole, single throw switch.

Regarding claims 5-6, Ooki discloses the claimed invention except for the digital semiconductor device operatively arranged to sense a logic level at one terminal of the switch or the incandescent light bulb. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the digital semiconductor device operatively arranged to sense a logic level at one terminal of the switch or the incandescent light bulb, since applicant has not disclosed that the digital semiconductor device operatively arranged to sense a logic level at one terminal of the switch or the incandescent light bulb solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the digital semiconductor device operatively arranged to sense a logic level at one terminal of the switch or the incandescent light bulb.

Regarding claims 10-11, Ooki discloses the claimed invention except for the logic level at one terminal of the switch, and triggering a shutdown of the illumination source when a transition in the logic level occurs or the step of monitoring is done digitally. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the logic level at one terminal of the switch, and triggering a shutdown of the illumination source when a transition in the logic level occurs or the step of monitoring is done digitally, since applicant has not disclosed that the logic level at one terminal of the switch, and triggering a shutdown of the illumination source when a transition in the logic level occurs or the step of monitoring is done digitally solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the

Application/Control Number: 10/040,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 2821

logic level at one terminal of the switch, and triggering a shutdown of the illumination source when a transition in the logic level occurs and the step of monitoring is done digitally.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikoh et al. (US 5,517,353) and Ikoh. (US 5,861,985) are cited to show the microscope.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (703) 605-4247. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 –4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (703) 308-4856. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2821

Examiner

Minh A

Art unit 2821

06/25/03

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800